

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,377	09/26/2003	Shigeaki Fukui	848075/0055	8545
29619 7590 09/10/2007 SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP				INER
ATTN: JOEL E. LUTZKER			ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH	
919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/672,377	FUKUI, SHIGEAKI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1745			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The preriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers						
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the find one of the find of the find of the find of the drawing of the find	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17:2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A 1-	Wa)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/672,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed July 9, 2007. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1-6 are pending and are rejected finally for the reasons given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehara (US Pre-Grant Publication 2002/0142195) in view of Masumoto et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2003/0180582).

Ehara teaches a battery pack having a circuit element protected by a positive temperature coefficient (PTC) element (abstract, [0034]-[0035]), which is the element used as the heat protecting element in the instant disclosure.

Ehara further teaches that the PTC element (15) is bonded to the battery, or separated from the surface of the cell, by resin (17) (Figure 3, [0010], [0034], [0035]).

Ehara teaches a case to protect the circuit elements (41) (Figure 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,377

Art Unit: 1745

Ehara fails to teach a resin mold section covering the circuit, connecting members, and PTC element.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Masumoto et al. teach a battery having elements covered by an insulating resin mold package ([0018]).

As the sleeve requirement of claim 3, the protection circuit element of Ehara would be surrounded by the insulating resin of Masumoto et al., thus the resin acts as a sleeve.

It would be desirable to fill the case of Ehara with resin as taught by Masumoto et al. since it would provide additional insulation to the circuit elements of Ehara.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fill the case of Ehara with resin as taught by Masumoto et al. since it would provide additional insulation to the circuit elements of Ehara.

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehara in view of Masumoto et al. as applied to claims 1-3 above, and in further view of Fong et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2003/0124420).

The teachings of Ehara and Masumoto et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Ehara in view of Masumoto et al. teaches the battery of the instant invention but fails to teach that the connection members are made of metal plates.

Art Unit: 1745

Fong et al. teach the use of metal, especially copper, plates as terminal connectors since they provide high conductivity and low contact resistance ([0043]).

It would be desirable to use the metal plates of Fong et al. in the battery of Ehara in view of Masumoto et al. since they provide high conductivity and low contact resistance.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the metal plates of Fong et al. in the battery of Ehara in view of Masumoto et al. in order to improve the performance of the battery through the high conductivity and low contact resistance of the plates as taught by Fong et al.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 9, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the Masumoto et al. reference fails to teach a space between the circuit substrate and the outer casing. The examiner disagrees.

Applicant is directed to Figures 6A, 6B, 13 and 16 of Masumoto et al., as well as [0068] and [0085]. It is clear that Masumoto et al. teach that there is a cavity within the cover, similar to the cavity of Ehara et al., which is filled by insulating resin.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/672,377 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,377 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1745

aee

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER